

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,277			06/27/2003	Christof Kindervater	HOE-492.1	8511
	20028	0028 7590 03/07/2006			EXAMINER	
	Lipsitz & M	cAlliste	r, LLC		AFTERGUT, JEFF H	
	755 MAIN STREET MONROE, CT 06468				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	MONROL, C	21 0040	,,,		1733	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/608,277	KINDERVATER, CHRISTOF					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2006.						
<u> </u>							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		F.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-97</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-97</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	\$					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
() Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) () Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,					

Page 2

15

W.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,277

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-34, drawn to an energy system, classified in class 428, subclass 36.9.
 - II. Claims 35-78 and 93-97, drawn to a process for the production of an energy absorbing device, classified in class 156, subclass 192.
 - III. Claims 79-92, drawn to a process for absorbing energy, classified in class 280, subclass 748.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed could be made by another and materially different process such as resin injection molding process with a molding operation.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

Application/Control Number: 10/608,277

Art Unit: 1733

process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process of using the product could be practiced with a materially different product such as one formed via injection molding (resin transfer molding). Additionally the product as claimed could be used in a materially different process of using such as in the manufacture of a golf club or fishing pole.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because there is no requirement that the hollow body be formed with an end which was adapted to interact with a fitting (note that the process claims require an active step of "adapting the first end of the hollow body). The subcombination has separate utility such as a golf club or a fishing pole for example.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

10

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/608,277

Page 4

Art Unit: 1733

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: There are two separate species as defined in the Figures and Specification. There is species I: the use of a piece of flat material which is not formed from several pieces of flat material which are connected together (as depicted in Figures 5, 7, and 10 for example) or the use of a flat material which was formed by connecting a plurality of pieces of material together (as depicted in Figure 9 for example). Additionally there is a second species of invention as characterized by species II: the formation of a single energy absorbing element on a single mandrel (as depicted in Figures 5, 7, and 8, for example) or the species of forming multiple energy absorbing elements on a single mandrel (as depicted in Figures 9 and 10). The species are independent or distinct because as described they are mutually exclusive of one another (i.e. one either formed a single energy absorbing device or multiple energy absorbing devices on a single mandrel in the process and likewise one either employed a material which was a single piece of material without connections or one employed a material which was a plurality of pieces which were connected to form a single piece of material).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4, 7-11, and 16-34 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

1

۲,

Application/Control Number: 10/608,277

Art Unit: 1733

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 8. Applicant is required to elect not only from species I but also from species II and identify which claims are readable on the identified elections (i.e. which claims read on the elected embodiments of species I and species II within the elected invention (either group I, group II or group III).
- 9. A telephone call was made to Douglas McAllister on 3-3-06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

83

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff H. Aftergut whose telephone number is 571-272-1212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:15-345 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1733

Application/Control Number: 10/608,277

Art Unit: 1733

March 3, 2006

Page 7

33,

ß

7,

٧,

ў. Уз.

 $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\hat{\mathbf{y}}}$